

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/597,881	RADEMACHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Frantzy Poinvil.

(3) _____.

(2) John Stellabotte.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner inquires the status of the Application. Applicant's representative indicates that he had not received the Office Action that was mailed on 4/6/2005. It appears that the Office action of 4/6/2005 was returned by US Postal Service to the Patent Office on 6/22/2005. The time to respond to the attached Office action has been restarted. .